

Comments on Linear Algebra 1

In the last class we covered the main examples from the first exercise sheet. There were however a couple of other examples for which it may be useful to have some worked solutions.

4. This is an important exercise, and a good example of how the axioms for a vector space are used in proofs. Unfortunately, on the sheet we misstated the Exercise 1.10 as the already proven Proposition 1.7. Here is the correct statement and a proof.

Theorem: Let v_1, \dots, v_n be vectors in a vector space V over \mathbb{F} , and W be the set of linear combinations of these vectors. Then W is a subspace of V .

Pf: (Before proceeding with the proof, we note that our definition of a linear combination of vectors uses implicitly (V1) to avoid an excess of brackets.)

- (1) We first need to check that $\mathbf{0} \in W$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{0} && \text{by (V3) (applied repeatedly)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n 0 \cdot v_i && \text{by Theorem 1.5(3)} \end{aligned}$$

and this is a linear combination of the v_i as required.

- (2) We next need to show that if \mathbf{u} and $\mathbf{w} \in W$ then so is $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}$.

Let $\mathbf{u} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i$ and $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i v_i$ with $\lambda_i, \mu_i \in \mathbb{F}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w} &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i v_i \right) && \text{by (V2) and (V6)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i + \mu_i) v_i \end{aligned}$$

which is an element of W , as required.

- (3) Finally, we must show that if $\mathbf{u} \in W$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ then $\lambda \mathbf{u} \in W$.

With \mathbf{u} as above we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \mathbf{u} &= \lambda \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i \right) && \text{by (V5)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda (\lambda_i v_i) && \text{by (V7)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda \lambda_i) v_i \end{aligned}$$

which is an element of W , as required. □

8. As a further example of how the axioms for a vector space can be used, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.5, parts (2) and (4).

Theorem: Given $v \in V$, the element $-v$ is unique, and we have $(-1) \cdot v = -v$.

Pf: Suppose that \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{w} are two elements of V such that $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{0} && \text{by (V3)} \\ &= \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) && \text{by assumption} \\ &= (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{w} && \text{by (V1)} \\ &= (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{w} && \text{by (V2)} \\ &= \mathbf{0} + \mathbf{w} && \text{by assumption} \\ &= \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{0} && \text{by (V2)} \\ &= \mathbf{w} && \text{by (V3)} \end{aligned}$$

as required.

To show that $(-1) \cdot v = -v$ we begin by considering

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} &= 0 \cdot v && \text{by Theorem 1.5(3)} \\ &= (1 + (-1)) \cdot v \\ &= 1 \cdot v + (-1) \cdot v && \text{by (V6)} \\ &= v + (-1) \cdot v && \text{by (V8)}. \end{aligned}$$

Adding $(-v)$ to both side of the last equation we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} + (-v) &= (v + (-1) \cdot v) + (-v) && \text{by (V2)} \\ \mathbf{0} + (-v) &= ((-1) \cdot v + v) + (-v) && \text{by (V2) and (V1)} \\ (-v) + \mathbf{0} &= (-1) \cdot v + (v + (-v)) && \text{by (V3) and (V4)} \\ (-v) &= ((-1) \cdot v) + \mathbf{0} && \text{by (V3)} \\ (-v) &= (-1) \cdot v && \text{as required.} \end{aligned}$$