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## Part III

## Mathematical Methods II

Each question carries 25 marks.

1) (i) (3 marks) The linear fractional transformation is defined as

$$
w=T(z)=\frac{a z+b}{c z+d} \quad \text { for } a d-b c \neq 0 ; a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C} .
$$

Why is the restriction $a d-b c \neq 0$ needed? For which choices of the constants $a, b, c, d$ does this map reduce to a translation by an amount 2 , a rotation of $\theta$, a scaling by a factor -3 and the inversion map?
(ii) (6 marks) Given the two linear fractional transformations

$$
T_{1}(z)=\frac{z-2}{2 z-i} \quad \text { and } \quad T_{2}(z)=\frac{2 z-i}{3 i z-2}
$$

compute the linear fractional transformation equivalent to the composition

$$
T_{1} \circ T_{2}(z) .
$$

Why is your answer expected to be a linear fractional transformation? Decompose your result into a succession of rotations, translations and inversions.
(iii) (11 marks) Construct a conformal transformation that maps a circle centered at $z=3+3 i$ with radius $r=3$ to the line passing through the points $w=i$ and $w=-1$. Determine also the map that maps the line to the circle.
(iv) (5 marks) Determine the image of the circle with radius 2 through the points $z_{1}=-2 i, z_{2}=-2$ and $z_{3}=-4-2 i$ which is mapped by

$$
w=f(z)=\frac{(4+4 i) z+(8+16 i)}{z+(2+2 i)}
$$

into the w-plane.
2) (i) (12 marks) Find a domain on which the function

$$
g_{1}(z)=\ln \left(\frac{z-1}{z^{2}-9}\right)
$$

is single valued and analytic. Provide two alternative constructions: a) Take the principal branch cut for $\ln (z)$ and b) take the branch cut for $\ln (z)$ to be $\mathbb{R}^{+}$.
(ii) (13 marks) Using the principal branch cut for $\ln (z)$ show that

$$
g_{2}(z)=\operatorname{arcsinh} z
$$

is single valued and analytic on $\mathbb{C} \backslash\{(-i \infty,-i),(i, i \infty)\}$.
3) (i) (5 marks) Define the exponential growth of a function $f(x)$ and subsequently define the Laplace tranform $\mathcal{L} f(x)$ for the function $f(x)$.
(ii) (20 marks) Compute the inverse Laplace transform $\mathcal{L}^{-1} v(x)$ for the function

$$
v(x)=\frac{5}{x^{2}-25}
$$

by evaluating the Bromwich integral

$$
\mathcal{L}^{-1} v(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma-i \infty}^{\gamma+i \infty} v(t) e^{t x} d t \quad \text { for } \gamma>\alpha
$$

where $\alpha$ is the exponential growth of $\mathcal{L}^{-1} v(x)$.
4) The Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} u(x)=\hat{u}(x)$ of a piecewise smooth and absolutely integrable function $u(x)$ on the real line is defined as

$$
\mathcal{F} u(x):=\hat{u}(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(t) e^{-i t x} d t .
$$

(i) (7 marks) Define what is meant by the convolution of two functions $w(x)$ and $v(x)$. Compute the convolution for the two identical functions

$$
w(x)=v(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { for }|x|<\mu \\
0 & \text { for }|x|>\mu
\end{array} .\right.
$$

(ii) (10 marks) Show that the Fourier transform of the convolution of two functions $v(x)$ and $u(x)$ equals the product of the Fourier transforms of $v(x)$ and $u(x)$. Verify this explicitly for the function $v(x)$ and $u(x)$ from ( $i$ ).
(iii) (8 marks) Use the relation between the Fourier transform and the Fourier transform of its derivative $\mathcal{F} u^{\prime}(x)=i x \mathcal{F} u(x)$ together with $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^{2}} e^{-i t x} d t=\sqrt{\pi} e^{-x^{2} / 4}$ to compute the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} u(x)$ of the function

$$
u(x)=H_{3}(x) e^{-x^{2}}
$$

where $H_{3}(x)$ is a Hermite polynomial of order three. The Hermite polynomial of order $n$ is generated from

$$
H_{n}(x)=(-1)^{n} e^{x^{2}} \frac{d^{n}}{d x^{n}}\left(e^{-x^{2}}\right) .
$$

5) The damped harmonic oscillator for a point particle of mass $m$ fixed on a spring with spring constant $\kappa$ with external driving force $F(t)$ and damping $\lambda$ is described by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \ddot{x}(t)+\lambda \dot{x}(t)+\kappa x(t)=F(t) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $x$ is the vertical displacement of the particle as a function of time $t$ and as usual we denote $\dot{x} \equiv d x / d t$.
(i) (11 marks) Show, using Laplace transforms, that the solution to equation (1) subject to the initial conditions $x(0)=\dot{x}(0)=0$ is given by

$$
x(t)=\frac{1}{m \omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} d s F(t-s) e^{-\mu s} \sin \omega s .
$$

Hint: You may use

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} u^{(n)}(x) & =x^{n} \mathcal{L} u(x)-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x^{n-k-1} u^{(k)}(0), \\
\mathcal{L} u(x) & =\frac{\omega}{(x-\mu)^{2}+\omega^{2}} \quad \text { for } \quad u(x)=e^{\mu x} \sin \omega x, \\
\mathcal{L} u(x) & =\frac{x-\mu}{(x-\mu)^{2}+\omega^{2}} \quad \text { for } \quad u(x)=e^{\mu t} \cos \omega t,
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) (2 marks) Specify now the external force to be a kick at $t=0$. Denoting by $p$ the momentum transfer of this kick the external force can be represented as

$$
F(t)=p \delta(t) .
$$

Compute the integral left in $(i)$.
(iii) (12 marks) Specify now the external force to be a continuous sin-force with frequency $\omega$, perhaps an electric field when the mass is charged. In this case the force is realised as

$$
F(t)=F_{0} \sin (\omega t) \text { for } t \geq 0 .
$$

Compute the integral left in $(i)$.
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(All questions and answers are unseen. Definitions, theorems, the proof in 4(ii) and 2(ii) have been seen.)

1. (i) The restriction is needed as otherwise $T^{\prime}(z)=\frac{a d-b c}{(d+c z)^{2}}=0$, i.e. the map would just be a constant. The notations of the lecture are

$$
f_{T}^{\Delta}(z):=z+\Delta, \quad f_{R}^{z_{0}}(z):=z z_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad f_{I}(z):=\frac{1}{z}
$$

where it is understood that $f_{R}^{z_{0}}$ is a simultaneous scaling and rotation. With

$$
T(z)=\frac{a z+b}{c z+d}
$$

we therefore have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(z) \rightarrow f_{T}^{2}(z) \quad \text { for } a=1, b=2, c=0, d=1 \\
& T(z) \rightarrow f_{R}^{e i \theta}(z) \quad \text { for } a=e^{i \theta}, b=0, c=0, d=1 \\
& T(z) \rightarrow f_{R}^{-3}(z) \quad \text { for } a=-3, b=0, c=0, d=1 \\
& T(z) \rightarrow f_{I}(z) \quad \text { for } a=0, b=1, c=1, d=0
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) With

$$
T_{1}(z)=\frac{z-2}{2 z-i} \quad \text { and } \quad T_{2}(z)=\frac{2 z-i}{3 i z-2}
$$

we compute

$$
T_{1} \circ T_{2}(z)=\frac{\frac{2 z-i}{3 i z-2}-2}{\frac{2(2 z-i)}{3 i z-2}-i}=\frac{(2-6 i) z+(4-i)}{7 z}
$$

The result is expected to a be a also linear fractional transformation as the set of all linear fractional transformations forms a group. By the closure property of the group we expect $T_{2} \circ T_{1}$ to be a linear fractional transformation.
We can decompose this as

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{1} \circ T_{2}(z) & =\left(\frac{2}{7}-\frac{6 i}{7}\right)+\frac{\frac{4}{7}-\frac{i}{7}}{z} \\
& =f_{T}^{\frac{2-6 i}{7}} \circ f_{R}^{\frac{4}{7}-\frac{i}{7}} \circ f_{I}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) We use the following

Theorem : The linear fractional transformation $w=T(z)$ maps three distinct points $z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}$ uniquely into three distinct points $w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}$. The map is determined by the equation

$$
\frac{\left(w-w_{1}\right)\left(w_{2}-w_{3}\right)}{\left(w-w_{3}\right)\left(w_{2}-w_{1}\right)}=\frac{\left(z-z_{1}\right)\left(z_{2}-z_{3}\right)}{\left(z-z_{3}\right)\left(z_{2}-z_{1}\right)} .
$$

We select therefore three points on the circle $z_{1}=3 i, z_{2}=3, z_{3}=6+3 i$ and three points on the line $w_{1}=-1, w_{2}=i, w_{3}=1+2 i$. Substituting these points into the above formula gives

$$
\frac{(w-(-1))(i-(1+2 i))}{(w-(1+2 i))(i-(-1))}=\frac{(z-3 i)(3-(6+3 i))}{(z-(6+3 i))(3-3 i)} .
$$

Solving this for $w$ yields

$$
w=T(z)=\frac{z+3}{z-(3+6 i)} .
$$

The map from the line to the circle is the inverse of $T(z)$. Therefore we solve

$$
z=\frac{w+3}{w-(3+6 i)}
$$

for $w$, which gives

$$
w=T^{-1}(z)=\frac{(3+6 i) z+3}{z-1}
$$

(iv) We know that the linear fractional transformation $w=T(z)$ maps circles and lines always into circles and lines. Since the points in the $z$-plane lie on a circle the points in the $w$-plane must be on a line or a circle. We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(-2 i) & =\frac{(8+16 i)-(8+8 i) i}{(2+2 i)-2 i}=8+4 i \\
f(-2) & =4 \\
f(-4-2 i) & =4 i
\end{aligned}
$$

We notice that these points lie on a circle with centre $(4,4 i)$ and with radius $r=4$, which is the unique image.
2. (i) The function $g_{1}(z)$ has three branch points at $z=1$ and at $z= \pm 3$. For the arguments of the logarithm we can write

$$
z-1=|z-1| e^{i \theta_{1}} \quad \text { and } \quad z \pm 3=|z \pm 3| e^{i \theta_{2 / 3}}
$$

such that

$$
g_{1}(z)=\ln \left(\frac{z-1}{z^{2}-9}\right)=\ln (z-1)-\ln (z-3)-\ln (z+3)=\ln \left|\frac{z-1}{z^{2}-9}\right|+i\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}-\theta_{3}\right)
$$

We have now various choices for the restriction on $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ and $\theta_{3}$ :
a) Assume the principal values for the logarithms:

$$
-\pi<\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3} \leq \pi
$$

Let us now consider the different regions on the real axis:

- $z \in(3, \infty)$ : On this part of the axis there is no problem as $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ and $\theta_{3}$ are all continuous when crossing the axis.
- $z \in(1,3)$ : On this line segment $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ are continuous, but $\theta_{3}$ jumps and therefore we require a cut.
- $z \in(-3,1)$ : When crossing this part of the axis both $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{3}$ are discontinuous. However, the relevant quantity, which is the difference $\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}-\theta_{3}$ is continuous. Above the axis we have $\theta_{2}=0, \theta_{1}=\theta_{3}=\pi$, such that $\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}-\theta_{3}=0$ and below the axis we have $\theta_{2}=0, \theta_{1}=\theta_{3}=-\pi$ and therefore also $\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}-\theta_{3}=0$. This means no cut is required on this segment.
- $z \in(-\infty, 3):$ On this line segment we have above the axis $\theta_{1}=\theta_{2}=\theta_{3}=\pi$ such that $\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}-\theta_{3}=-\pi$ and below the axis we have $\theta_{1}=\theta_{2}=\theta_{3}=-\pi$ such that $\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}-\theta_{3}=\pi$. This means the function is discontinuous and we need a branch cut to make it analytic.
Overall we only need therefore branch cut at the line segment $(-\infty,-1)$ and $(1,3)$ in order to make the function $g_{1}(z)$ single valued and analytic.
b) Next we assume the cut for the logarithms to be at:

$$
0<\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3} \leq 2 \pi
$$

Again we consider the different regions on the real axis:

- $z \in(3, \infty):$ On this line segment we have above the axis $\theta_{1}=\theta_{2}=\theta_{3}=0$ such that $\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}-\theta_{3}=0$ and below the axis we have $\theta_{1}=\theta_{2}=\theta_{3}=2 \pi$ such that $\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}-\theta_{3}=-2 \pi$. This means the function is discontinuous and we need a branch cut to make it analytic.
- $z \in(1,3):$ On this line segment we have above the axis $\theta_{3}=\pi, \theta_{1}=\theta_{2}=0$, such that $\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}-\theta_{3}=-\pi$ and below the axis we have $\theta_{3}=\pi, \theta_{1}=\theta_{2}=2 \pi$ and therefore also $\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}-\theta_{3}=-\pi$. This means no cut is required on this segment.
- $z \in(-3,1)$ : On this line segment we have above the axis $\theta_{2}=0, \theta_{1}=$ $\theta_{3}=\pi$, such that $\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}-\theta_{3}=0$ and below the axis we have $\theta_{2}=2 \pi$, $\theta_{1}=\theta_{3}=\pi$ and therefore also $\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}-\theta_{3}=-2 \pi$. This means the function is discontinuous and we need a branch cut to make it analytic.
- $z \in(-\infty, 3):$ On this part of the axis there is no problem as $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ and $\theta_{3}$ are all continuous when crossing the axis.
Overall we only need therefore branch cut at the line segment $(-3,1)$ and $(3, \infty)$ in order to make the function $g_{1}(z)$ single valued and analytic.
(ii) First express the arcsinh in terms of $\ln$

$$
w=\sinh z=\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{z}-e^{-z}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(y-y^{-1}\right) \quad \text { with } y=e^{z} .
$$

Therefore

$$
y^{2}-2 w y-1=0
$$

which is solved by

$$
y_{1 / 2}=w \pm \sqrt{w^{2}+1} .
$$

Therefore taking the positive square root

$$
\operatorname{arcsinh}(z)=\ln \left(z+\sqrt{z^{2}+1}\right)
$$

The principal branch of $\ln$ has the negative real axis, i.e. $(-\infty, 0) \equiv \mathbb{R}^{-}$, as branch cut. Thus we need to guarantee that

$$
\text { a) } z^{2}+1 \notin \mathbb{R}^{-} \quad \text { and } \quad \text { b) } z+\exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(z^{2}+1\right)\right] \notin \mathbb{R}^{-}
$$

a) Suppose that $z^{2}+1 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\Rightarrow\left(z^{2}+1\right)^{*}=z^{2}+1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad\left(z^{*}\right)^{2}=z^{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad z= \pm z^{*} \quad \Rightarrow z=x, z=i y
$$

for $z=x: x^{2}+1 \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \Rightarrow$ no restrictions arises from this possibility.
for $z=i y:-y^{2}+1 \in \mathbb{R}^{-}$for $|y|>1 \Rightarrow$ we need to cut out $\{(-i \infty,-i),(i, i \infty)\}$.
b) Assume that

$$
z+\exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(z^{2}+1\right)\right]=r \in \mathbb{R}^{-}
$$

Therefore

$$
\left(1+z^{2}\right)=(r-z)^{2} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad 1+z^{2}=r^{2}+z^{2}-2 r z \quad \Rightarrow z=\frac{r^{2}-1}{2 r} .
$$

This means $z \in \mathbb{R}^{-}$only for $r \in \mathbb{R}^{-}$and no further restriction results from this possibility.
The principal branch cuts of $\operatorname{arcsinh}(z)$ are therefore at $(-i \infty,-i)$ and $(i, i \infty)$.
3. (i) Definition: The function $f(x)$ is said to have exponential growth $\alpha$ if there $\sum=25$ exists a constant $\mu$ such that

$$
|f(x)| \leq \mu e^{\alpha x} \quad \text { for } x>0, \text { with } \alpha, \mu \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Definition: The Laplace transform $\mathcal{L} u(x)$ of a piecewise smooth function $f(x)$ with exponential growth $\alpha$ is defined as

$$
\mathcal{L} f(x):=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(t) e^{-t x} d t \quad \text { for } x>\alpha
$$

(ii) Compute

$$
\mathcal{L}^{-1} v(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma-i \infty}^{\gamma+i \infty} \frac{5}{t^{2}-25} e^{t x} d t
$$

Parameterize $z=\varepsilon+r e^{i \theta}$ and compute

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{5}{z^{2}-25} e^{z x} d z=\frac{2 \pi i}{2 \pi i} \operatorname{Res}_{z_{0}= \pm \omega} \frac{5}{(z-5)(z+5)} e^{z x}=\frac{1}{2} e^{5 x}-\frac{1}{2} e^{-5 x}=\sinh 5 x
$$

In order to show that

$$
\int_{\gamma-i \infty}^{\gamma+i \infty} \frac{t}{t^{2}-25} e^{t x} d t=\oint_{\Gamma} \frac{z}{z^{2}-25} e^{z x} d z
$$

we have to guarantee that the integral over, say $\Gamma$, parameterized by $r e^{i \theta}$ for $\theta$ from $\pi / 2$ to $3 \pi / 2$ vanishes as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Compute

$$
\left|\oint_{\gamma} \frac{5}{z^{2}-25} e^{z x} d z\right|=\left|5 e^{\varepsilon x} \int_{\pi / 2}^{3 \pi / 2} \frac{r e^{i \theta}}{\left(\varepsilon+r e^{i \theta}\right)^{2}-25} e^{r e^{i \theta} x} d \theta\right|
$$

With

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|r e^{i \theta}\right| & =r \\
\left|e^{r e^{i \theta} x}\right| & =e^{r x \cos \theta} \leq 1 \quad \text { for } \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{3}{2} \pi \\
\left|\left(\varepsilon+r e^{i \theta}\right)^{2}-25\right| & >r^{2}-25
\end{aligned}
$$

follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|e^{\varepsilon x} \int_{\pi / 2}^{3 \pi / 2} \frac{r e^{i \theta}}{\left(\varepsilon+r e^{i \theta}\right)^{2}-25} e^{r e^{i \theta} x} d \theta\right|<e^{\varepsilon x} \frac{r}{r^{2}-25} \rightarrow 0 \text { for } r \rightarrow \infty \\
\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{-1} v(x)=\sinh 5 x
\end{gathered}
$$

4. (i) The convolution of two functions $u(x)$ and $v(x)$ is defined as

$$
u * v(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(s) v(x-s) d s
$$

We have

$$
w(s) v(x-s)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for }|x-s|<\mu \text { and }|s|<\mu \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

This means

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
x-s<\mu \Rightarrow \quad x<\mu+s<2 \mu \\
-\mu<x-s \quad \Rightarrow \quad s-\mu<x \Rightarrow-2 \mu<x
\end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow|x|<2 \mu
$$

When $0<x<2 \mu: x-s<\mu \Rightarrow x-\mu<s<\mu \Rightarrow \int_{x-\mu}^{\mu} d s=2 \mu-x$.
When $-2 \mu<x<0:-\mu<x-s \Rightarrow-\mu<s<x+\mu \Rightarrow \int_{-\mu}^{x+\mu} d s=2 \mu+x$.
Therefore

$$
w * v(x)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } x<-2 \mu \\ 2 \mu+x & \text { for }-2 \mu<x<0 \\ 2 \mu-x & \text { for } 0<x<2 \mu \\ 0 & \text { for } x>2 \mu\end{cases}
$$

(ii) We verify in general

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(u \star v)(x) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(u \star v)(t) e^{-i t x} d t=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d s u(s) v(t-s) e^{-i t x} \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d s u(s)\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t v(t-s) e^{-i t x} e^{i s x}\right) e^{-i s x} \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d s u(s) e^{-i s x}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t v(t) e^{-i t x}\right) \\
& =\mathcal{F}(u) \mathcal{F}(v) .
\end{aligned}
$$

First compute $\mathcal{F}(w) \mathcal{F}(v)$ :

$$
\mathcal{F}(w)=\int_{-\mu}^{\mu} d t e^{-i t x}=\left.\frac{i}{x} e^{-i t x}\right|_{-\mu} ^{\mu}=\frac{i}{x}\left(e^{-i x \mu}-e^{i x \mu}\right)=\frac{2}{x} \sin (\mu x) .
$$

Therefore

$$
\mathcal{F}(w) \mathcal{F}(v)=\left[\frac{2}{x} \sin (\mu x)\right]^{2}=\frac{4}{x^{2}} \sin ^{2}(\mu x)
$$

On the other hand

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(w \star v)(x) & =\int_{-2 \mu}^{0}(t+2 \mu) e^{-i t x} d t+\int_{0}^{2 \mu}(2 \mu-t) e^{-i t x} d t \\
& =2 \mu \int_{-2 \mu}^{2 \mu} e^{-i t x} d t+\int_{-2 \mu}^{0} t e^{-i t x} d t-\int_{0}^{2 \mu} t e^{-i t x} d t \\
& =\frac{4}{x} \mu \sin (2 \mu x)+\left.\left(\frac{1}{x^{2}}+\frac{i t}{x}\right) e^{-i t x}\right|_{-2 \mu} ^{0}-\left.\left(\frac{1}{x^{2}}+\frac{i t}{x}\right) e^{-i t x}\right|_{-2 \mu} ^{0} \\
& =\frac{4 \mu}{x} \sin (2 \mu x)+\frac{2 i e^{2 i x \mu} \mu}{x}-\frac{e^{2 i x \mu}}{x^{2}}+\frac{1}{x^{2}}-\frac{2 i e^{-2 i x \mu} \mu}{x}-\frac{e^{-2 i x \mu}}{x^{2}}+\frac{1}{x^{2}} \\
& =\frac{4 \mu}{x} \sin (2 \mu x)+\frac{2 i e^{2 i x \mu} \mu}{x}-\frac{e^{2 i x \mu}}{x^{2}}+\frac{1}{x^{2}}-\frac{2 i e^{-2 i x \mu} \mu}{x}-\frac{e^{-2 i x \mu}}{x^{2}}+\frac{1}{x^{2}} \\
& =\frac{4 \mu}{x} \sin (2 \mu x)-\frac{2 \cos (2 x \mu)}{x^{2}}-\frac{4 \mu \sin (2 x \mu)}{x}+\frac{2}{x^{2}} \\
& =-\frac{2 \cos ^{2}(x \mu)}{x^{2}}+\frac{2 \sin ^{2}(x \mu)}{x^{2}}+\frac{2}{x^{2}}=\frac{4 \sin ^{2}(x \mu)}{x^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\mathcal{F}(w) \mathcal{F}(v)=\mathcal{F}(w) \mathcal{F}(v)$.
(iii) First we compute the Hermite polynomial $H_{3}(x)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{3}(x) & =(-1)^{3} e^{x^{2}} \frac{d^{3}}{d x^{3}}\left(e^{-x^{2}}\right) \\
& =-e^{x^{2}} \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}\left[-2 x e^{-x^{2}}\right] \\
& =e^{x^{2}} \frac{d}{d x}\left[2 e^{-x^{2}}-4 x^{2} e^{-x^{2}}\right] \\
& =e^{x^{2}}\left[-12 x e^{-x^{2}}+8 x^{3} e^{-x^{2}}\right] \\
& =8 x^{3}-12 x
\end{aligned}
$$

such that

$$
u(x)=\left(8 x^{3}-12 x\right) e^{-x^{2}}
$$

Next we define $v(x)=e^{-x^{2}}$. Then $v^{\prime}(x)=-2 x e^{-x^{2}}, v^{\prime \prime}(x)=\left(4 x^{2}-2\right) e^{-x^{2}}$ and $v^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)=\left(12 x-8 x^{3}\right) e^{-x^{2}}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(x) & =\left(8 x^{3}-12 x\right) e^{-x^{2}} \\
& =-v^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F} u(x) & =-\mathcal{F} v^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)=-i x \mathcal{F} v^{\prime \prime}(x)=x^{2} \mathcal{F} v^{\prime}(x)=i x^{3} \mathcal{F} v(x) \\
& =i \sqrt{\pi} x^{3} e^{-x^{2} / 4}
\end{aligned}
$$

5. (i) Acting with the Laplace operator $\mathcal{L}$ on

$$
m \ddot{x}(t)+\lambda \dot{x}(t)+\kappa x(t)=F(t)
$$

gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \mathcal{L} \ddot{x}(t)+\lambda \mathcal{L} \dot{x}(t)+\kappa \mathcal{L} x(t)=\mathcal{L} F(t) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying $\mathcal{L} u^{(n)}(x)=x^{n} \mathcal{L} u(x)-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x^{n-k-1} u^{(k)}(0)$ for $n=1, n=2$ we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} \ddot{x}(t)=t^{2} \mathcal{L} x(t)-t x(0)-\dot{x}(0) & \Rightarrow & \mathcal{L} \ddot{x}(t)=t^{2} \mathcal{L} x(t) \\
\mathcal{L} \dot{x}(t)=t \mathcal{L} x(t)-x(0) & \Rightarrow & \mathcal{L} \dot{x}(t)=t \mathcal{L} x(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

We used the initial conditions $x(0)=\dot{x}(0)=0$. Therefore we can rewrite (1) as

$$
m t^{2} \mathcal{L} x(t)+\lambda t \mathcal{L} x(t)+\kappa \mathcal{L} x(t)=\mathcal{L} F(t)
$$

which we can solve for $\mathcal{L} x(t)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} x(t)=\frac{\mathcal{L} F(t)}{m t^{2}+\lambda t+\kappa}=\frac{\mathcal{L} F(t)}{m\left(t^{2}+\lambda / m t+\kappa / m\right)}=\frac{\mathcal{L} F(t)}{m} \frac{1}{(t+\mu)^{2}+\omega^{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we completed the square and abbreviated $\omega^{2}=\kappa / m-\mu^{2}, \mu=\lambda / 2 m$. Using the hint

$$
\mathcal{L} u(x)=\frac{\lambda}{(x-\mu)^{2}+\lambda^{2}} \quad \text { for } \quad u(x)=e^{\mu x} \sin \lambda x .
$$

Translating this to our notation here gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} v(t)=\frac{\omega}{(t+\mu)^{2}+\omega^{2}} \quad \text { for } \quad v(t)=e^{-\mu t} \sin \omega t \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means we can rewrite (2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} x(t)=\frac{1}{m \omega} \mathcal{L} F(t) \mathcal{L} v(t)=\frac{1}{m \omega} \mathcal{L}(F * v)(t), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used $\mathcal{L}(u \star v)(x)=(\mathcal{L} u)(x)(\mathcal{L} v)(x)$ in the last equality. Acting now with $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ on (4) yields the final asnwer for $x(t)$ in form of an integral representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\frac{1}{m \omega} F * v(t)=\frac{1}{m \omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} d s F(t-s) e^{-\mu s} \sin \omega s . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) When we specify $F(t)=p \delta(t)$ the solution (5) becomes

$$
x(t)=\frac{p}{m \omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} d s \delta(t-s) e^{-\mu s} \sin \omega s=\frac{p}{m \omega} e^{-\mu t} \sin \omega t .
$$

(iii) Next we specify $F(t)=F_{0} \sin (\hat{\omega} t)$. We could try to evaluate

$$
x(t)=\frac{F_{0}}{m \omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} d s \sin [\hat{\omega}(t-s)] e^{-\mu s} \sin \omega s
$$

but it is more convenient to go back to equation (2). With the hint we have that

$$
\mathcal{L} F(t)=F_{0} \frac{\hat{\omega}}{t^{2}+\hat{\omega}^{2}},
$$

such that we obtain from equation (2)

$$
x(t)=\frac{F_{0} \hat{\omega}}{m} \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{t^{2}+\hat{\omega}^{2}} \frac{1}{(t+\mu)^{2}+\omega^{2}}\right)=\frac{F_{0} \hat{\omega}}{m \chi} \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha t+\beta}{t^{2}+\hat{\omega}^{2}}+\frac{\gamma t+\delta}{(t+\mu)^{2}+\omega^{2}}\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi=\hat{\omega}^{4}+2 \hat{\omega}^{2}\left(\mu^{2}-\omega^{2}\right)+\left(\mu^{2}+\omega^{2}\right)^{2}=\hat{\omega}^{4}+2 \hat{\omega}^{2}\left(\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2 m^{2}}-\frac{\kappa}{m}\right)+\frac{\kappa^{2}}{m^{2}} \\
& \alpha=-2 \mu, \quad \beta=\omega^{2}-\hat{\omega}^{2}+\mu^{2}, \quad \gamma=2 \mu, \quad \delta=\hat{\omega}^{2}-\omega^{2}+3 \mu^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We may now use

$$
\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega}{(t-\mu)^{2}+\omega^{2}}\right)=e^{\mu t} \sin \omega t \text { and } \quad \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\frac{t-\mu}{(t-\mu)^{2}+\omega^{2}}\right)=e^{-\mu t} \cos \omega t
$$

to derive

$$
x(t)=\frac{F_{0} \hat{\omega}}{m \chi}\left(\alpha \cos \hat{\omega} t+\frac{\beta}{\hat{\omega}} \sin \hat{\omega} t+\gamma e^{\mu t} \cos \omega t+\frac{\delta+\mu \gamma}{\omega} e^{\mu t} \sin \omega t\right)
$$

Up to here will be fine. We can carry on and simplify the solution further. Depending on the sign of $\lambda$, the last two terms will lead to exponentially increasing or decreasing functions. Discarding this solution a common trick for the above type of expressions is to introduce a further parameter $\varphi$ as

$$
\tan \varphi=-\frac{\hat{\omega} \alpha}{\beta}=\frac{\lambda \hat{\omega}}{k-m \hat{\omega}^{2}}
$$

such that

$$
x(t)=\frac{F_{0} \hat{\omega}}{m \chi} \sin (\hat{\omega} t-\varphi)
$$

