

The EGPR 2006 assesment scheme

by

V_05 07/02/2006

Item	The criteria	Weight factor	V_05 07/02/2006						Weighted Average	Item weighted Average
			Team 1	Team 2	Team 3	Team 4	Team 5	Team 6		
Work during semester	Quality of presentations and reports in design reviews	3								0.0
	Quality of the group work and communication	1								
	Quality of the application of presented design methods	1								
The posters	Graphical impression of posters	1								0.0
	Relevance of content presented	1								
The presentation	Structure of the presentation	1								0.0
	Relevance of information presented	1								
	Quality of the analysis (level of achievement of requirements)	1								
The prototype	Social requirements	1								0.0
	Technical requirements	1								
	Economical requirements	1								
	Globalness	1								
	Level of completeness of the prototype	1								
	Innovativeness of the prototype	2								
The final report	Structure of the report	1								0.0
	Relevance of information presented	1								
	Quality of the analysis (level of achievement of requirements)	1								
Team weighted Average		20	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####	#####		

The marks may be selected from the following list:

- 0 not acceptable, many mistakes have been made
- 1 satisfactory
- 3 good
- 4 very good
- 5 excelent (perfect)