

# Hearing through your eyes: Modulation of visually-evoked auditory response by transcranial electrical stimulation

Elliot Freeman, Chris Fassnidge  
Synøve Knudsen, Zainab Kazaz  
Cognitive Neuroscience Research Unit  
City University London UK



## Background

- Some people 'hear' visual events as sounds<sup>1</sup>
- They also show better discrimination of visual 'Morse-code' sequences, relative to auditory<sup>1</sup>.
- We measured sequence discrimination while applying Transcranial Alternating Current Stimulation (TACS) over auditory vs visual cortex.
- Does TACS effect depend on individual differences in ability to hear flashes, and visual:auditory discrimination?


## Methods

### 26 Participants:


- 18 to 55 years (M24, SD 8.69)
- including six self-reporting synaesthetes (e.g. grapheme-colour, music-colour) and 14 musicians (musical training for 5 to 46 years (M15.3, SD 9.9))
- 23 were asked: 'did you hear faint sounds accompanying flashes?'

### 10Hz TACS:

- 1000µA bilateral for 15 minutes during task
- Stimulation vs Sham double-blinded; counterbalanced within-session
- Sites: occipital pole (O1, O2) vs temporal (T3, T4); counterbalanced between session




## Task



## Results

### a) TACS effect depends on 'hearing flashes'




### b) Hearing flashes is more prevalent in synaesthetes

		Synaesthesia		
		No	Yes	Σ
Hear flashes?	No	12	2	14
	Yes	4	5	9
	Σ	16	7	23

$\chi^2 = 4.41$   
 $p = 0.04$

- But synaesthesia per se does not predict TACS effect

### c) Visual:Auditory bias modulates TACS effects



### 'Morse code' sequences:

- Same/Different discrimination
- Unimodal Auditory and Visual
- Modality randomised each trial
- 8 Long and short events
- Events 3 to 7 shuffled in 'Different' trials

## Interpretation

### a) Cortices inhibit each other<sup>2</sup>

- Inhibition carried by alpha oscillations<sup>3</sup>
- Alpha TACS biases competition between cortices
- Hearing-flashes people have less inhibition? → weaker TACS effect

### b) Supports 'unmasking' theory of synaesthesia<sup>4</sup>

### c) Individuals also differ in balance between cortices

- Indexed by V:A performance
- TACS to dominant cortex disrupts inhibition of sub-dominant cortex
- Less effect of TACS on sub-dominant cortex as it is already inhibited. Further support for TACS biasing competition

## Conclusions

- People who hear flashes use both vision and audition together to solve the sequencing task
- This may involve cooperative representations across visual and auditory cortices which resist disruptive effects of TACS.

## References

1. Saenz, M., & Koch, C. (2008) *Current Biology*, 18(15), 650-651
2. Mattingley, J., Driver, J., Beschin, N., Robertson, I. (1997) *Neuropsychologia*, 35(6), 867-880; Iurilli, G. et al, (2012) *Neuron*, 73 814-828
3. Klimesch, W., Sauseng, P., & Hanslmayr, S. (2007). *Brain Research Reviews*, 53(1), 63-88
4. Grossenbacher, P. & Lovelace, C. (2001) *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 5(1), 36-41; Cohen Kadosh, R., Walsh, V. (2006) *Current Biology*, 16(22), R962-3.

