

## Linear Algebra: Solutions to Coursework 1

1. (a)  $U = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid x_1 + x_4 = 0\}$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . In order to prove it, we need to check conditions (S1), (S2) and (S3).

(S1)  $(0, 0, 0, 0) \in U$ , as  $x_1 + x_4 = 0 + 0 = 0$ .

(S2) If  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4), (x'_1, x'_2, x'_3, x'_4) \in U$ , i.e.  $x_1 + x_4 = 0$  and  $x'_1 + x'_4 = 0$ , then

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) + (x'_1, x'_2, x'_3, x'_4) = (x_1 + x'_1, x_2 + x'_2, x_3 + x'_3, x_4 + x'_4) \in U$$

as

$$(x_1 + x'_1) + (x_4 + x'_4) = (x_1 + x_4) + (x'_1 + x'_4) = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

(S3) If  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in U$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  then

$$\lambda(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2, \lambda x_3, \lambda x_4) \in U$$

as  $(\lambda x_1) + (\lambda x_4) = \lambda(x_1 + x_4) = \lambda 0 = 0$ .

- (b)  $U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a + b = c + d \right\}$  is a subspace of  $M(2, 2)$ . In order to prove it, we need to check conditions (S1), (S2) and (S3).

(S1)  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in U$  as  $0 + 0 = 0 + 0$ .

(S2) If  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} \in U$  then

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a + a' & b + b' \\ c + c' & d + d' \end{pmatrix} \in U$$

as  $(a + a') + (b + b') = (a + b) + (a' + b') = (c + d) + (c' + d') = (c + c') + (d + d')$ .

(S3) If  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in U$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  then

$$\lambda \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda a & \lambda b \\ \lambda c & \lambda d \end{pmatrix} \in U$$

as  $(\lambda a) + (\lambda b) = \lambda(a + b) = \lambda(c + d) = (\lambda c) + (\lambda d)$ .

- (c)  $U = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}} \mid f(0) = 1\}$  is not a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ . It is enough to show that one condition fails. Take for example (S1). The zero vector is the zero function  $\theta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\theta(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . In particular,  $\theta(0) = 0 \neq 1$ , thus  $\theta \notin U$ .
2. (a)  $U \cap W$  is always a subspace of  $V$ . In order to prove it we need to show that (S1), (S2) and (S3) are satisfied.
- (S1) As the zero vector  $\mathbf{0} \in U$  and  $\mathbf{0} \in W$ , we have  $\mathbf{0} \in U \cap W$ .
- (S2) Take  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \in U \cap W$ . In particular,  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \in U$  so (as  $U$  is a subspace) we have  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u} \in U$ . But also  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \in W$  so (as  $W$  is a subspace) we have  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u} \in W$ . Thus we have  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u} \in U \cap W$ .
- (S3) Take  $\mathbf{v} \in U \cap W$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . In particular,  $\mathbf{v} \in U$ , so (as  $U$  is a subspace) we have  $\lambda \mathbf{v} \in U$ . But also  $\mathbf{v} \in W$ , so (as  $W$  is a subspace) we have  $\lambda \mathbf{v} \in W$ . Thus we have  $\lambda \mathbf{v} \in U \cap W$ .

- (b)  $U \cup W$  is not always a subspace of  $V$ . Take for example  $V = \mathbf{R}^2$ ,  $U = \{(x, 0) \mid x \in \mathbf{R}\}$  and  $W = \{(0, y) \mid y \in \mathbf{R}\}$ . Then it is easy to check that  $U$  and  $W$  are subspaces of  $V$ . However,  $U \cup W = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid x = 0 \text{ or } y = 0\}$  is not a subspace of  $V$ . How to see that? Show for example that (S2) fails. Take  $(1, 0) \in U \cup W$  and  $(0, 1) \in U \cup W$ , then  $(1, 0) + (0, 1) = (1, 1) \notin U \cup W$ .
3. (a) As this set contains 4 vectors and  $\dim \mathbf{R}^3 = 3$  this set cannot be linearly independent (using Corollary 1.24 from the lecture), in particular, it is not a basis. Is it spanning? To check this, we need to check whether we can write any vector  $(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{R}^3$  as a linear combination of these vectors, i.e. we want to find some  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$  and  $\lambda_4$  (depending on  $x, y, z$ ) satisfying

$$(x, y, z) = \lambda_1(0, 0, 1) + \lambda_2(1, 0, 1) + \lambda_3(0, 1, 0) + \lambda_4(-1, -1, 0).$$

This is equivalent to the following system of linear equations

$$\begin{cases} x &= \lambda_2 - \lambda_4 \\ y &= \lambda_3 - \lambda_4 \\ z &= \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \end{cases}$$

Solving this system we get  $\lambda_1 = z - x - \lambda_4$ ,  $\lambda_2 = x + \lambda_4$ ,  $\lambda_3 = y + \lambda_4$  and  $\lambda_4$  can be any real number. So we could take for example  $\lambda_4 = 0$ ,  $\lambda_1 = z - x$ ,  $\lambda_2 = x$  and  $\lambda_3 = y$ . Thus this set is a spanning set for  $\mathbf{R}^3$ .

- (b) As this set contains 3 vectors and  $\dim \mathbf{R}^4 = 4$  this set cannot be spanning (using Corollary 1.24 from the lecture), in particular, it is not a basis. Is it linearly independent? Write

$$\lambda_1(0, 0, 0, 1) + \lambda_2(3, 0, 1, 0) + \lambda_3(5, 4, 3, -2) = (0, 0, 0, 0).$$

Does this equation implies that  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0$ ? Rewrite this equation as

$$\begin{cases} 0 &= 3\lambda_2 + 5\lambda_3 \\ 0 &= 4\lambda_3 \\ 0 &= \lambda_2 + 3\lambda_3 \\ 0 &= \lambda_1 - 2\lambda_3 \end{cases}$$

The only solution to this system of linear equation is  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0$ . Thus this set is linearly independent.

- (c) Is this set linearly independent?

$$\lambda_1(3) + \lambda_2(2 - x) + \lambda_3(4 + x - x^2) = 0$$

Does this implies that  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0$ ? We have

$$(3\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + 4\lambda_3) + (-\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)x + (-\lambda_3)x^2 = 0$$

so

$$\begin{cases} 0 &= 3\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + 4\lambda_3 \\ 0 &= -\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \\ 0 &= -\lambda_3 \end{cases}$$

But the only solution to this system is  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0$ . Thus this set is linearly independent.

Now, as  $\dim P_2 = 3$  and we have a set containing 3 linearly independent vectors, it is automatically a basis (and hence also a spanning set), using Corollary 1.24 from the lecture.

4. (a) This map is linear. In order to prove it we need to check the following two conditions.  
 (i)  $f(p(x) + q(x)) = f(p(x)) + f(q(x))$  for all  $p(x), q(x) \in P_n$ .  
 Now

$$\begin{aligned} f(p(x) + q(x)) &= x^2 \frac{d}{dx}(p(x) + q(x)) \\ &= x^2 \left( \frac{d}{dx}(p(x)) + \frac{d}{dx}(q(x)) \right) \\ &= x^2 \frac{d}{dx}(p(x)) + x^2 \frac{d}{dx}(q(x)) \\ &= f(p(x)) + f(q(x)). \end{aligned}$$

- (ii)  $f(\lambda p(x)) = \lambda f(p(x))$  for all  $p(x) \in P_n$  and all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .  
 Now

$$f(\lambda p(x)) = x^2 \frac{d}{dx}(\lambda p(x)) = x^2 \lambda \frac{d}{dx}(p(x)) = \lambda(x^2 \frac{d}{dx}(p(x))) = \lambda f(p(x)).$$

- (b) This map is not linear. In order to prove it is enough to show that one of the two conditions fails. Take for example (i). Pick  $(0, 0, 1)$  and  $(1, 1, -1)$  then

$$f((0, 0, 1) + (1, 1, -1)) = f(1, 1, 0) = (1 + 1)0 = 0$$

but

$$f(0, 0, 1) + f(1, 1, -1) = (0 + 0)1 + (1 + 1)(-1) = -2$$

showing that (i) fails.

5. (a) No there isn't such a linear map as if there were one then we would have

$$f(4, 2) = f(2(1, 1) + (2, 0)) = 2f(1, 1) + f(2, 0) = 2(1, 0, 0) + (1, 2, 3) = (3, 2, 3) \neq (0, 0, -5).$$

- (b) Using the calculation above we see that there is such a linear map. To find  $f(x, y)$ , first write

$$(x, y) = a(1, 1) + b(2, 0) = (a + 2b, a)$$

Thus we must have  $a = y$  and  $b = \frac{1}{2}(x - y)$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= f(y(1, 1) + \frac{1}{2}(x - y)(2, 0)) \\ &= yf(1, 1) + \frac{1}{2}(x - y)f(2, 0) \\ &= y(1, 0, 0) + \frac{1}{2}(x - y)(1, 2, 3) \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{2}(x + y), x - y, \frac{3}{2}(x - y) \right). \end{aligned}$$